

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1061: Conference for Registrars dealing with Court Procedures and Process Re-engineering

24th – 26th November, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Dr. Amit Mehrotra, Assistant Professor, NJA, Bhopal.

No. of Participants : 31

No. of forms received : 31

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	100.00	-	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	87.10	12.90	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	96.77	3.23	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	93.55	6.45	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	96.77	3.23	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	87.10	12.90	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	82.14	17.86	-	-
c. Up to date	87.10	12.90	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	81.48	18.52	-	-

e. Related to International Legal Norms	45.45	45.46	9.09	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	90.32	9.68	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	76.67	23.33	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	83.87	16.13	-	-
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	satisfactory
1	90.00	10.00	100.00	-
2	82.61	17.39	91.67	8.33
3	95.24	4.76	100.00	-
4	90.91	9.09	100.00	-
5	83.33	16.67	100.00	-
6	95.65	4.35	91.67	8.33
7	82.61	17.39	91.67	8.33
8	90.91	9.09	91.67	8.33
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	100.00	-	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current	90.00	10.00	-	-

thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area				
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	87.10	12.90	-	-
VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. How to prepare cause lists/Listing of matter in better way; 2. Development through ICT; 3. Ease of doing the courts work.</p> <p>2. How to optimize the use of ICT.</p> <p>3. Good compilation follow up was good. Insights into working of other courts.</p> <p>4. 1. Rules & Processes related to writs and appeals; 2. Use of ICT in judicial system.</p> <p>5. All</p> <p>6. 1. Use of ICT; 2. Inputs on identifying easily disposable cases; 3. Methods of strengthening the registry.</p> <p>7. 1. Motto, Quantities Responsiveness & Timeliness; 2. Synergy skills in innovative technology; 3. Usefulness of ICT in justice delivery.</p> <p>8. 1. Deliberations of Hon'ble Resource Persons; 2. Inputs made by the house; 3. Presentation made by the groups.</p> <p>9. I believe each one of us has benefitted from the programme. Efforts will be made for achieving the purpose.</p> <p>10. Always focus on Qualitativeness, Responsiveness and Timeliness.</p> <p>11. 1. Discussions on ICT and how it can be used in different section of HC itself; 2. The best practices that were culled out; 3. How the matters discussed here be used for advancement of justice delivery system.</p> <p>12. Practical & implementable procedures & practices was the biggest take home.</p> <p>13. Use of ICT take pledge of ICT Qualitativeness, Responsiveness and Timeliness; Change our self and then only you can change others.</p> <p>14. Interaction with counterpart of other HC; very informative.</p> <p>15. New dimension of ICT.</p> <p>16. All</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p>			

	<p>18. 1. To use of ICT in judicial work/official work; 2. To curb delay & arrear.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Knowledge; Exposure; Network.</p> <p>21. The officers as Registrar are reminded about their responsibility and the interaction event with the Hon'ble Resource Persons.</p> <p>22. In the first programme inputs were received; In the second programme may were elaborately discussed & finality achieved.</p> <p>23. All the sessions.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Informative, Objective, Beneficial.</p> <p>26. Take immediate call to address the issue taken up of during the conference.</p> <p>27. Urgent issues to effectively improve registry procedures.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. 1. Motivation; 2. Deep analysis of the issues.</p> <p>30. Need of change Management to be sensitize, Exchange of view amongst HC.</p> <p>31. Need of re-engineering process for achieving qualitative, responsive and timeliness justice and the importance of ICT.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 4: Civil, Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction and Civil Original Side; Session 8: ICT and Process reengineering. It relates to court's work development as well as procedures.</p> <p>2. ICT.</p> <p>3. Interactive session.</p> <p>4. ICT to words case of business of the courts which would certainly help in bringing down the pendency and achieve timeliness.</p> <p>5. All</p> <p>6. Group discussions & inputs by resource persons, as it generated innovative & practical ideas.</p> <p>7. Procedures process; re-engineering need, scope and limits.</p>

	<p>8. All the programmes are most useful as High Courts of Manipur came into existence only in this year 2013. Hence, ICT programme is most useful as we are under the process of e-court and procedures.</p> <p>9. All, as it was a nice experience knowing at the same time learning from other High Courts and hope we will be able to inculcate all that we have learnt in our respective High Courts.</p> <p>10. ICT & process re-engineering; Most useful as ICT will be the main factor to bring about change in court processes and to speed up the case flow. Management system as well as all other related court processes.</p> <p>11. Whole of it was useful.</p> <p>12. Highlighting the in use good practice in various High Courts in different areas; Why- because it shows the merit & demerit of the practices- which can be replicated.</p> <p>13. All parts.</p> <p>14. ICT</p> <p>15. All</p> <p>16. All</p> <p>17. ICT including programme of all the sessions.</p> <p>18. Use of technology in official & judicial work.</p> <p>19. Programme was very useful.</p> <p>20. Interaction & group discussion.</p> <p>21. Severed things and the status of ICT, e-courts projects, pendency, best practices in the other High Courts.</p> <p>22. Writs, appellate jurisdiction and ICT.</p> <p>23. All the eight sessions.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Group discussions.</p> <p>26. <i>Session 6: Listing and Mentioning of Matters.</i></p> <p>27. All</p>
--	---

	<p>28. Possibilities of ICT in easing the court procedure.</p> <p>29. Open house discussion leading to problem solving methods.</p> <p>30. All</p> <p>31. All</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. I find no such part of the total programme.</p> <p>2. NA</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. <i>Session 7: Adjournments and Backlog of Cases.</i></p> <p>8. None.</p> <p>9. None.</p> <p>10. None.</p> <p>11. None.</p> <p>12. Nil</p> <p>13. None.</p> <p>14. None.</p> <p>15. All were useful.</p> <p>16. All were useful.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Nil</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Nil</p>

	<p>21. Every part is useful.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. None.</p> <p>26. Session 4: Civil, Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction and Civil Original Side.</p> <p>27. None.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. I did not find anything like this.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. By furnishing study materials well in advance.</p> <p>2. Kindly make all effects to have discussion only through e-papers.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. NA</p> <p>5. Regular meetings and seminars.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Arrange these types of programme to keep up to date the registry officers.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Please arrange such programmes for Registrar Judicial once a year so that we can discuss our problems.</p> <p>14. Similar programme can bring us to gather to solve the problems.</p>

	<p>15. 1. Vehicle should be made available in the evening to visit the city; 2. Spouse should be allowed to accompany the participants after due verification from the respective High Courts.</p> <p>16. Spouse should be allowed along with the participants.</p> <p>17. It is most effective.</p> <p>18. Nil</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. May organize such programme periodically to motivate the Registrar and to exchange the view, to learn new and effective process adopted to achieve Quantities Responsiveness & Timeliness.</p> <p>22. These kind of programmes are necessary especially for Registrars of High Courts.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. This conference could have been only for two days.</p> <p>28. In the present programme the best practice could have been shared and discussed at the respective rule committees of the High Court's so that a concrete proposal could have been given.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>30. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	---